

On intersective polynomials with non-solvable Galois group

J. König*

September 30, 2016

We present new theoretical results on the existence of intersective polynomials (that is, integer polynomials with roots in all $\mathbb{Q}_p$, but not in $\mathbb{Q}$) with certain prescribed Galois groups, namely the projective and affine linear groups $PGL_2(\ell)$ and $AGL_2(\ell)$ as well as the affine symplectic groups $AGSp_4(\ell) := (\mathbb{F}_\ell)^4 \rtimes GSp_4(\ell)$. For further families of affine groups, existence results are proven conditional on the existence on certain tamely ramified Galois extensions. We also compute explicit families of intersective polynomials for certain non-solvable groups.

Keywords: Galois theory; polynomials; number fields; group theory; Galois representations.

1 Introduction

Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. f is called *intersective*, if f has a root modulo every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently, f has a root in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for every prime p . f is called non-trivially intersective, if f does not have a rational root.

A simple example of a non-trivially intersective polynomial is $(X^2 - 2)(X^2 + 7)(X^2 + 14)$.

In the following, “intersective” should always be understood as “non-trivially intersective”. The existence of intersective polynomials with a given number of irreducible factors and a given Galois group G is linked closely to the following property of the group G .

*Universität Würzburg, Emil-Fischer-Str. 30, 97074 Würzburg, Germany. email: joachim.koenig@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1 (*k*-coverable group). Let G be a finite group and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. G is called *k*-coverable if there exist proper subgroups $U_1, \dots, U_k$ of G such that every element of G is contained in a conjugate of one of the U_i , in other words if

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \bigcup_{x \in G} U_i^x.$$

An easy exercise in undergraduate algebra shows that there are no 1-coverable finite groups (and therefore, by the following exposition, there are no irreducible interseptive polynomials). Several authors have studied 2-coverable groups (cf. e.g. [3], [4] or [17]).

It is easy to verify that if, for a given group G , there is an interseptive polynomial with k irreducible monic factors over $\mathbb{Z}$ and with Galois group G , then G must be *k*-coverable. More precisely, let $f = f_1 \cdots f_k$ be a complete factorization of the interseptive polynomial f over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $E|\mathbb{Q}$ be the Galois closure of f , and let p be a prime that does not ramify in E . Then the Galois group G_p of the localization $E_p|\mathbb{Q}_p$ is cyclic and fixes one of the roots of some f_i , since by definition, f has a root in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. This means that $G_p =: \langle \sigma \rangle$ is contained in a conjugate of the proper subgroup $U_i := \text{Gal}(E|\mathbb{Q}(\alpha))$, for a root α of f_i . On the other hand, by the Chebotarev density theorem, elements of every conjugacy class of G occur as generators of such a decomposition group G_p . Therefore G is the union of the conjugates of all U_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

This means that, on the other hand, a given Galois extension $E|\mathbb{Q}$ with a *k*-coverable Galois group G will yield interseptive polynomials with k irreducible factors, provided that the decomposition groups at the *ramified* primes in E behave appropriately. More precisely, Sonn shows the following in Proposition 1 of [20]:

Proposition 1.1. *Let $K|\mathbb{Q}$ be a Galois extension with Galois group G . Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) K is the splitting field of a non-trivially interseptive polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with exactly k irreducible factors.*
- ii) G is k -coverable by subgroups $U_1, \dots, U_k$, the intersection of all conjugates U_i^g (with $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $g \in G$) is trivial, and every decomposition group D_p in K (for $p \in \mathbb{P}$) is contained in a conjugate of one of the U_i .*

Note that the condition of trivial intersection in ii) of Prop. 1.1 is automatically satisfied if G is simple, or if one of the U_i is a point stabilizer in a faithful permutation action of G . As this will always be the case in our examples in the following sections, we therefore will

2 Existence results

not mention this condition there.

In [21], Sonn shows that intersective polynomials exist for all non-solvable groups that occur as Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$. He also shows in [20, Theorem 2] that for *solvable* groups that admit a k -covering of subgroups with the trivial intersection property of Prop. 1.1ii), intersective polynomials with exactly k irreducible factors exist.¹ For most non-solvable groups (even the ones known to occur as Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$), this problem remains open:

Question 1: Let G be a finite group, k -coverable by subgroups $U_1, \dots, U_k$ such that the intersection of all conjugates U_i^g (with $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $g \in G$) is trivial. Does there exist an intersective polynomial $f = f_1 \cdots f_k \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with exactly k irreducible factors and with Galois group G ?

In this paper, we focus on 2-coverable groups. Intersective polynomials have been given for the 2-coverable alternating and symmetric groups ([17]) as well as for the dihedral group D_5 ([10]), and their existence has been shown for non-solvable Frobenius groups ([20]). Using results from the theory of Galois representations, we will derive the existence of intersective polynomials with two irreducible factors for several infinite families of groups, namely the groups $PGL_2(\ell)$ and $AGL_2(\ell)$ as well as the affine symplectic groups $AGSp_4(\ell)$ with an arbitrary prime ℓ .

For small 2-coverable groups, one can nowadays easily check the databases of number fields ([7] or [8]) to find fields with suitable ramification conditions, yielding examples of intersective polynomials with two irreducible factors for the prescribed group. A somewhat more challenging task is to find nice parametric families for a given group. We give examples of such families for several non-solvable groups in Section 3.

2 Existence results

We briefly recall some basic facts about decomposition groups and inertia groups:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $E|\mathbb{Q}$ be a Galois extension, p be a prime and $E_p|\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the localization at p . Let D_p , I_p and $I_{p,w}$ denote the decomposition group, the inertia group and the wild inertia group at a prime ideal of E extending p . Then $I_{p,w} \trianglelefteq I_p \trianglelefteq D_p$ is a chain of normal subgroups of D_p , the quotient D_p/I_p is cyclic, the quotient $I_p/I_{p,w}$ is cyclic of order coprime to p , and $I_{p,w}$ is a p -group. In particular D_p is solvable.*

¹As noted in [20, Theorem 2], such a k -covering is possible (for some k) for all non-cyclic groups.

2.1 The groups $PGL_2(\ell)$

The groups $PGL_2(\ell)$, with ℓ a prime, are all known to occur as Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$, thanks to the theory of ordinary Galois representations. In this section we show how such representations can be used to obtain intersective polynomials with two irreducible factors in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, and with Galois group $PGL_2(\ell)$. In [4], it is shown that for any prime power q , $PGL_n(q)$ is 2-coverable if and only if $2 \leq n \leq 4$.

In particular, for $n = 2$, one has the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $q \geq 4$ be a prime power. Let U_1 be a point stabilizer of $PGL_2(q)$ in its natural degree $q + 1$ action on 1-dimensional subspaces. Let U_2 be the normalizer of a Singer cycle (i.e., of a cyclic subgroup of order $q + 1$) in $PGL_2(q)$. Then U_1 and U_2 yield a 2-covering of $PGL_2(q)$.*

From now on, let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime. To ensure the existence of a pair (f, g) with $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ monic and irreducible, such that $\text{Gal}(f \cdot g) \cong PGL_2(\ell)$ and $f \cdot g$ has a root in every $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we need a $PGL_2(\ell)$ -extension $K|\mathbb{Q}$ such that all decomposition groups $\text{Gal}(K_p|\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are contained either in a point stabilizer or in the normalizer of a singer cycle. One way to do this is to make use of results on tamely ramified extensions, as presented in [1]. We briefly summarize the construction given there. Cf. especially the proof of [1, Thm. 2.1].

For every prime $\ell \geq 5$, there exists a semistable elliptic curve with good supersingular reduction at ℓ , such that the attached Galois representation $\rho : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ is surjective (the surjectivity follows from the semistability for all $\ell \geq 11$ by a theorem of Mazur ([14, Theorem 4]); and the smaller ℓ are treated explicitly in [1]). Composition of this representation with the projection $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}) \rightarrow PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ yields a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with group $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$.

Because of semistability, the inertia group at every prime $p \neq \ell$ is either trivial or of order ℓ . In particular, all decomposition groups at the *ramified* primes $p \neq \ell$ are contained in the normalizer of an ℓ -Sylow subgroup of $PGL_2(\ell)$, i.e. a conjugate of U_1 .

Furthermore, because of good supersingular reduction at ℓ , the inertia subgroups at ℓ are cyclic of order $\ell + 1$ (i.e., Singer cycles), and therefore the decomposition subgroups are contained in a conjugate of U_2 . This follows from Proposition 12 in [18].

We therefore obtain:

Theorem 2.3. *For every prime $\ell \geq 5$, there is an intersective polynomial with two monic irreducible factors $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\text{Gal}(fg|\mathbb{Q}) = PGL_2(\ell)$.*

2.2 The groups $AGL_2(\ell)$

For $\ell \geq 5$ a prime, we will deduce the existence of intersective polynomials with two irreducible factors for the affine linear groups $AGL_2(\ell)$ from the corresponding results for $PGL_2(\ell)$. First note that the existence of Galois extensions over $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $AGL_2(\ell)$ follows immediately from the existence of those with group $GL_2(\ell)$, since any split embedding problem with abelian kernel (here, with kernel $(C_\ell)^2$) possesses a solution over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see Theorem IV.2.4 in [13]).

The groups $AGL_n(q)$ are 2-coverable even for arbitrary $n \geq 2$ and prime powers q .

Theorem 2.4. *Let q be a prime power, $n \geq 2$ and let $G := AGL_n(q)$ be the affine linear group in its natural primitive action on $V := (\mathbb{F}_q)^n$. Let U_1 be a point stabilizer and $U_2 := V \rtimes H$, where $H \leq GL_n(q)$ is a stabilizer of a one-dimensional subspace in V . Then U_1 and U_2 yield a 2-covering of $AGL_n(q)$.*

Proof. Any element of G is a map of the form $\varphi : x \mapsto Ax + v$, with $v \in V$, $A \in GL_n(q)$. Now if v is in the image of $I_n - A$ (I_n the identity matrix), then φ fixes a point in V , and therefore (by the transitivity of the action on V) lies in a conjugate of U_1 . But otherwise, A must have an eigenvalue 1, so it fixes a one-dimensional subspace and therefore lies in a conjugate of H . Therefore φ lies in a conjugate of VH . $\square$

Now let $n = 2$ and $q = \ell \geq 5$ be a prime.

First, assume $\ell \geq 5$. Let E be a semistable elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with ordinary good reduction at ℓ . The theory of Galois representations yields an epimorphism a Galois extension $K|\mathbb{Q}$ with group $GL_2(\ell)$ and the following ramification (cf. the corollary after Proposition 11 in [18]):

- i) At prime ideals of K extending ℓ , the inertia group either has order $\ell - 1$ and is conjugate to the group of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \star & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, or has order $\ell \cdot (\ell - 1)$ and is conjugate to the group of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \star & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- ii) At prime ideals extending a prime $p \neq \ell$, by semistability, the inertia group is either trivial or of order ℓ , conjugate to a subgroup of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The normalizer of the first group in case i) is just the group of diagonal matrices in $GL_2(\ell)$, whereas the normalizers of the other cases contain a normal subgroup of order ℓ . This shows

2 Existence results

immediately that the decomposition group at any ramified prime is contained in a conjugate of the Borel subgroup (as these are the normalizers of an order- ℓ subgroup), which is just the stabilizer of a one-dimensional subspace in $V := (\mathbb{F}_\ell)^2$. By [13, Theorem IV.2.4], there is an extension $K_2 \supset K$ such that $K_2|\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois with group $AGL_2(\ell)$. The decomposition groups at all ramified primes in $K_2|\mathbb{Q}$ that extend primes already ramified in $K|\mathbb{Q}$ are then contained in a conjugate of VH , with H the Borel subgroup in $GL_2(\ell)$. But for ramified primes of $K_2|\mathbb{Q}$ extending *unramified* primes of $K|\mathbb{Q}$ (note that in particular those primes must extend a rational prime $p \neq \ell$), the inertia subgroup must be cyclic of order ℓ and therefore the decomposition subgroup normalizes a 1-dimensional subspace of V , i.e. it is also contained in a conjugate of VH .

The cases $\ell \in \{5, 7\}$ can be dealt with by explicit Galois realizations from the databases. Alternatively, they are covered by the results of the next section. Together with Theorem 2.4, we therefore obtain:

Theorem 2.5. *For every prime $\ell \geq 5$, there is an intersective polynomial with two monic irreducible factors $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $\text{Gal}(fg|\mathbb{Q}) = AGL_2(\ell)$.*

2.3 Further affine groups

We will generalize the results of the previous section for further affine groups, *conditional* on the existence of certain tamely ramified Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. More precisely we will show:

Theorem 2.6. *Let $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ a finite vector space of dimension $2 \leq n \leq 4$. Let $G = V \rtimes U$ be a finite affine group on V , with a group $U \leq GL(V)$ which acts transitively on the 1-dimensional subspaces of V .*

If U occurs as a Galois group of a tamely ramified Galois extension over $\mathbb{Q}$, then there is an intersective polynomial $f \cdot g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with two irreducible factors and with Galois group G .

The first important observation for a proof of Thm. 2.6 is that the 2-covering constructed for the full affine groups $AGL_n(q)$ in the previous section can be generalized in a natural way to affine groups $G = V \rtimes U$ (with arbitrary $n \geq 2$, $V := (\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ and $U \leq GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ transitive on the 1-dimensional subspaces). The proof is completely analogous to the one of Thm. 2.4 (note that the transitivity of U is needed because in the proof of Thm. 2.4, the corresponding stabilizers in U need to be conjugate).

Now for $n \leq 4$ we will show that even more holds:

2 Existence results

Lemma 2.7. *Let $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^n$ a finite vector space of dimension $2 \leq n \leq 4$. Let $G = V \rtimes U$ be as in Theorem 2.6. Let U_1 be a point stabilizer and $U_2 := V \rtimes H$, where $H \leq U$ is a stabilizer of a one-dimensional subspace in V . Then every metacyclic subgroup of G is contained in a conjugate of U_1 or of U_2 .*

Proof. Let $M \leq G$ be metacyclic, i.e. $M = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle$, with $\phi, \psi \in G$ such that ψ normalizes $\langle \phi \rangle$. We will break the proof down into several cases. We always write $\phi : V \rightarrow V, x \mapsto Ax + b$ and $\psi : x \mapsto Cx + d$.

First, assume that ϕ fixes a point in V . By transitivity, we can assume that this fixed point is $0 \in V$, i.e. $\phi : x \mapsto Ax$ for some $A \in GL(V)$. Let ψ be defined as $\psi : x \mapsto Cx + d$ (with $d \in V, C \in GL(V)$). We will write (d, C) for this map as an element of the semidirect product $G = V \rtimes U$. The normalizer condition then writes as

$$(A(d), AC) = (0, A) \cdot (d, C) = (d, C) \cdot (0, A^k) = (d, CA^k)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, A fixes the vector d . Let $V_0 \leq V$ be the subspace of elements fixed by A . Clearly, ψ acts on V_0 . If ψ fixes a point in V_0 as well, then ϕ and ψ lie in the same G -conjugate of U_1 . But if ψ acts without fixed point on V_0 (in particular, $V_0 \neq \{0\}$), C restricted to V_0 has an eigenvalue 1 (just as in the proof of Thm. 2.4). So A and C have a common fixed point, whence ϕ and ψ lie in the same conjugate of U_2 .

Next, assume that $\phi : x \mapsto Ax + b$ fixes no point in V (in particular, A has an eigenvalue 1), and that the minimal polynomial of A is divisible by $X - 1$ exactly once. Then $V = E_1 \oplus (I_n - A)(V)$, with E_1 the eigenspace of A with eigenvalue 1. By conjugating with an appropriate element $(v, I_n) \in AGL(V)$, we can translate b by any element of $(I_n - A)(V)$, so we may assume $b \in E_1$. Then the normalizer condition reduces to

$$\underbrace{(b, A)(d, C)}_{=(b+A(d), \dots)} = \underbrace{(d, C)(k \cdot b, A^k)}_{=(d+kC(b), \dots)}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $(I_n - A)(d) = b - kC(b)$, and since C fixes the eigenspace E_1 of A setwise, this vector lies in $(I_n - A)(V) \cap E_1 = \{0\}$. Therefore $b = kC(b)$, which enforces either $b = 0$ (contradicting the assumption that ϕ is fixed point free) or b is a common eigenvector of A and C . Therefore ϕ and ψ lie in the same conjugate of U_2 .

Note that so far, we have not used the assumption $n \leq 4$.

Lastly, assume that the minimal polynomial of A is divisible by $(X - 1)^2$. Now if A has an eigenvalue with geometric multiplicity one or a unique Jordan block of maximal size then

2 Existence results

it follows immediately that A and C have a common eigenvector (since C , normalizing $\langle A \rangle$, leaves all higher eigenspaces $\ker(\lambda I_n - A)^r$ invariant). But as $n \geq 4$, this only leaves one

possibility for A up to conjugation in $GL(V)$, namely the Jordan form $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 1 \\ & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

One verifies by an easy calculation that via conjugating (b, A) with appropriate elements (v, M) , with $M \in C_{GL(V)}(A)$, we can additionally assume $b = (0, 0, 0, 1)^t =: e_4$.

The condition $\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = \varphi^k$ (for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime to $\text{ord}(A) = \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q)$) then reduces to

$$C^{-1}AC = A^k$$

and

$$b - (I - A)(d) = C\left(\underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A^j b}_{=k \cdot e_4 + \lambda e_3 \text{ for some } \lambda}\right).$$

Since $b - (I - A)(d) \in \langle e_1, e_3, e_4 \rangle$ and anyway $Ce_3 \in \ker(I - A) = \langle e_1, e_3 \rangle$, it follows that $Ce_4 \in \langle e_1, e_3, e_4 \rangle$. Therefore $Ce_3 = C(A - I)e_4 = (A^m - I)Ce_4$ (with m the multiplicative inverse of k modulo $\text{ord}(A)$), and this lies in $(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j)(A - I)\langle e_1, e_3, e_4 \rangle = (\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^j)\langle e_3 \rangle = \langle e_3 \rangle$. We have therefore shown that e_3 is a common eigenvector for A and C .

This concludes the proof. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.6. In the proof, we will use results on solvability of split embedding problems with nilpotent kernel with extra conditions on ramification, presented in [16, Thm. 9.5.11] as part of the proof of Shafarevich's theorem. This approach was also used by Sonn in [20] to show the existence of intersective polynomials for solvable groups and Frobenius groups.

Proof of Thm. 2.6. Let $2 \leq n \leq 4$, let $G = V \rtimes U$ and let $K|\mathbb{Q}$ be a tamely ramified Galois extension with group U . By [13, Theorem IV.2.4], there is an extension $L|K$ with $\text{Gal}(L|\mathbb{Q}) = G$ (split embedding problem with abelian kernel). Furthermore, by [16, Thm. 9.5.11], L can be chosen such that every ramified prime of $K|\mathbb{Q}$ splits completely in $L|K$, and every prime of K that ramifies in L is completely split in $K|\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore all decomposition groups of ramified primes in $L|\mathbb{Q}$ either lie in $V \subset U_2$ (for primes extending a completely split prime of $K|\mathbb{Q}$); or they are metacyclic and intersect V trivially (for primes that are completely split in $L|K$), in which case we have just shown in Lemma 2.7 that

2 Existence results

they lie in a conjugate of either U_1 or U_2 (here, U_i are as in Lemma 2.7). This shows the assertion. $\square$

Remark: The groups in Lemma 2.7 include in particular the doubly transitive affine groups (of the corresponding dimensions). These groups are completely classified due to work of Hering ([6]). They are of the form $G = V \rtimes U$ with $V = (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ and one of the following:

- a) $U \leq \Gamma L_1(p^n)$,
- b) $U \supseteq SL_a(q)$, with $q^a = p^n$,
- c) $U \supseteq Sp_{2a}(q)$, with $q^{2a} = p^n$,
- d) $U \supseteq G'_2(q)$, with $p^n = 2^n = q^6$,
- e) (V, U) in an explicitly known finite list of “sporadic” cases.

The groups in a) are solvable and have therefore already been dealt with in [20]. The groups in b) include the case $U = GL_2(\ell)$ for which tamely ramified extensions are known (cf. Section 2.1); we therefore regain the statement of Theorem 2.5 as a special case of Theorem 2.6. However, the proof in Section 2.2 still has its own merit as it works for *any* solution of the $AGL_2(\ell)$ -embedding problem beginning from the given $GL_2(\ell)$ -extension, whereas Theorem 2.6 requires very specific solutions for this embedding problem.

The groups in c) include in particular the groups of symplectic similitudes $GSp_4(\ell)$ for primes ℓ . For these groups, the existence of tamely ramified Galois extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ is known, again by work of Arias-de-Reyna and Vila ([2]). This immediately yields:

Corollary 2.8. *Let ℓ be a prime. Then the affine symplectic group $AGSp_4(\ell) = (\mathbb{F}_\ell)^4 \rtimes GSp_4(\ell)$ occurs as the Galois group of an interseptive polynomial $f \cdot g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with exactly two irreducible factors.*

Question: Can the assumption $n \leq 4$ in Lemma 2.7 be dropped? The only critical case is for unipotent matrices as in the last case of the proof of Lemma 2.7. While for $n \geq 5$, it is in general no longer true that the whole normalizer of such elements in $AGL_n(q)$ has a common fixed point in the coset action on G/U_2 , computational evidence suggests that the assertion remains true for metacyclic subgroups.

3 New explicit intersective polynomials for non-solvable groups

We present explicit infinite families of intersective polynomials with two irreducible factors for several non-solvable groups. Note that all of our families have a positive density subset of integer specializations of the extra parameter(s) yielding intersective polynomials. On the other hand, by Hilbert's irreducibility theorem, the set of integer specializations that do not preserve the Galois group has density 0. Therefore, one indeed obtains infinitely many intersective polynomials with the desired Galois group.

To prove that a given polynomial is actually intersective, the following well-known lemma is useful. It connects the splitting behaviour of the mod- p reduction of a polynomial with the orbits of the inertia and decomposition subgroups at primes extending p .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be monic and irreducible, let p be a prime and D_p resp. I_p be the decomposition group resp. the inertia group of a prime extending p in a splitting field of f , viewed as a permutation group on the zeroes of f . Assume that f splits as $f \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r f_i^{k_i}$ modulo p . Then the orbit lengths of D_p form a subpartition of the partition $[n_1 \cdot k_1, \dots, n_r \cdot k_r]$, where $n_i := \deg(f_i)$. Similarly, the orbit lengths of I_p form a subpartition of $\underbrace{[k_1, \dots, k_1]}_{n_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, k_r, \dots, k_r$.*

Proof. The orbit lengths of D_p are exactly the degrees of the irreducible factors of f over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let g be such a factor. By Hensel's Lemma (cf. e.g. Lemma II.4.6 in [15]), the mod- p reduction of g can only have one irreducible factor (up to multiplicity). This shows the assertion about D_p . The claim about I_p follows analogously after replacing $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by its maximal unramified extension. $\square$

This lemma will help to exclude certain ramification patterns for polynomials in an explicitly given family.

Another useful tool to investigate the roots of a polynomial over the p -adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the Newton polygon.

Definition 3.1 (Newton polygon). Let p be a prime, $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ be a polynomial of degree n , with $f(0) \neq 0$, and let ν_p be the p -adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The Newton polygon of f is the set of line segments bounding the lower convex envelope of the point set $\{(i, \nu_p(a_i)) : i \in \{0, \dots, n\}, a_i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Number the line segments of the Newton polygon of f as $S_1, \dots, S_k$, where the slope of S_{i+1} is strictly larger than the slope of S_i (for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$). Then the lengths and slopes

3 New explicit interseptive polynomials for non-solvable groups

of the S_i yields information about the p -adic valuation of the roots of f . More precisely, the following holds (Proposition II.6.3 in [15]):

Proposition 3.2. *With the above nomenclature, let S be a line segment of the Newton polygon of f , beginning in $(r, \nu_p(a_r))$ and ending in $(s, \nu_p(a_s))$. Denote the slope of S by $-m$. Then f has precisely $s - r$ roots of p -adic valuation m .*

Since the p -adic valuation is invariant for all roots of a given irreducible factor of f , Proposition 3.2 immediately yields information about the Galois group of f over $\mathbb{Q}_p$: its orbit lengths in the action on the roots of f must be a subpartition of the partition given by the numbers $s - r$ (running through all line segments S of the Newton polygon).

3.1 The group $PSL_3(2)$

We give a four-parameter polynomial f leading to interseptive integer polynomials $f \cdot g$ with two irreducible factors. As the “partner” polynomial g would be rather complicated to write down with no parameters specialized, we only give a one-parameter version of it explicitly. The polynomial f first appeared in [12].

Computations in this and the following sections were performed with the help of Magma.

Theorem 3.3. *Let a, b, c and t be algebraically independent transcendentals over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $f := X^7 - ((c-2)a + 2b + c)X^6 + (-(b-4)(c-1)a^2 + ((c-2)b^2 + (2c^2 - 5c + 4)b - 2c^2)a + b(2bc + 2c^2 + b^2))X^4 + ((2c^2 - 1)(b-4)a^2 + ((-2c^2 + c + 2)b^2 + (5c^2 + 2c - 4)b - 4c^2)a - (c + 1)b^3 - c(2c + 3)b^2 + c^2b)X^3 + ((c^2 + 3c - 1)(4 - b)a^2 + ((3c - 2)b^2 - 2(c^2 + 4c - 2)b + 4c^2)a + b(b^2 + 3bc - c^2))cX^2 + (2abc - 8ac + ab - 4a - b^2 + 2bc)ac^2X - a^2(b-4)c^3 + tX^2(X - c)(X^2 - bX + b)$.*

Then f has Galois group $PSL_3(2)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(a, b, c, t)$. Furthermore, for all odd integer specializations of a, b, c and t preserving the Galois group, there is an irreducible polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that specialized polynomial $f \cdot g$ has Galois group $PSL_3(2)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and has a root in every $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

In particular, for $a = b = c = 1$, the polynomial $g := X^8 + (-14t + 14)X^7 + (87t^2 - 178t + 109)X^6 + (-314t^3 + 980t^2 - 1210t + 431)X^5 + (721t^4 - 3032t^3 + 5654t^2 - 4119t + 1293)X^4 + (-1080t^5 + 5700t^4 - 14238t^3 + 15835t^2 - 9776t + 2542)X^3 + (1032t^6 - 6521t^5 + 20397t^4 - 30663t^3 + 28012t^2 - 14338t + 3343)X^2 + (-576t^7 + 4212t^6 - 15786t^5 + 29976t^4 - 36081t^3 + 27147t^2 - 11847t + 1738)X + 144t^8 - 1188t^7 + 5169t^6 - 11874t^5 + 17689t^4 - 17396t^3 + 10799t^2 - 2948t + 295 \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[X]$ fulfills the above requirements.

Proof. The polynomial f already has Galois group $G := PSL_3(2)$ (in its natural degree 7

3 New explicit intersective polynomials for non-solvable groups

action) over $\mathbb{Q}(a, b, c, t)$ as shown in [12], Theorem 4.3. A root field of f inside the Galois closure is therefore just the fixed field of a point stabilizer U_1 in this degree 7 action.

The polynomial g was computed such that a root field of g is the fixed field inside the Galois closure of f of a maximal subgroup U_2 of index 8 in G (U_2 is a point stabilizer of G , reinterpreted as $PSL_2(7)$). One checks that not only is the union of conjugates of U_1 and U_2 all of G , but in fact, that all metacyclic subgroups of G are contained in one such conjugate, and the only solvable subgroups that are contained neither in a conjugate of U_1 nor in one of U_2 are isomorphic to S_4 or A_4 .

This means that all specializations of $f \cdot g$ that preserve the Galois group have a root in every $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that p is (at most) tamely ramified in the Galois closure over $\mathbb{Q}$; and in fact in *all* $\mathbb{Q}_p$, as long as 2 is not wildly ramified. Now for a, b, c, t all odd, f is congruent modulo 2 to $X^7 + X^5 + X^4 + X^3 + 1$ which is an irreducible separable polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_2$. This means that the splitting field of f over $\mathbb{Q}_2$ is unramified (of degree 7), which completes the proof. $\square$

3.2 The group $P\Gamma L_2(8)$

From Theorem 2.2, the group $PSL_2(8) = PGL_2(8)$ has a 2-covering by subgroups U_1 of index 9 (point stabilizer in the natural action) and U_2 of index $28 = \frac{8 \cdot 7}{2}$. The analogous statement remains true for $P\Gamma L_2(8) = PSL_2(8).3$, with the subgroups $U_1.3$ and $U_2.3$. In fact, for both $PSL_2(8)$ and $P\Gamma L_2(8)$, most solvable subgroups are already contained in a conjugate of one of the two groups used for the 2-covering: the only ones that are not contained have socle C_7 ; more precisely, exactly D_7 (for both $PSL_2(8)$ and $P\Gamma L_2(8)$) and $AGL_1(7)$ (for $P\Gamma L_2(8)$) are not contained in any of the conjugates.

This means that any Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with group $PSL_2(8)$ or $P\Gamma L_2(8)$ will yield an intersective polynomial with two irreducible factors, just as long as we can make sure that no inertia subgroup I_p has order divisible by 7 (for any prime p). We will first use this to generate infinitely many intersective polynomials for $P\Gamma L_2(8)$.

There are several known polynomials with group $PSL_2(8)$ or $P\Gamma L_2(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see e.g. the tables in the appendix of [13]), and those can indeed be used to find intersective polynomials for both groups. Here we content ourselves with a family of intersective polynomials $f \cdot g$ for $P\Gamma L_2(8)$ which has a nice additional property: both f and g are of the form $p(x) - tq(x)$. The “intersective” property then means that there are rational functions $r_1(x)$ and $r_2(x) \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$ such that for all primes p , every integer value is obtained in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by one of r_1 and r_2 (and even every p -adic integer value $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, since those can

3 New explicit intersective polynomials for non-solvable groups

be approximated by rational integers and for sufficiently close approximations, the Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of the corresponding specialized polynomials are the same, by Krasner's lemma). This property is itself worth investigating in generality - a necessary condition for the existence of such pairs of rational functions for a group G is the existence of a 2-covering of G by subgroups U_1 and U_2 and of a class tuple in G that is a genus zero tuple in both the permutation actions on G/U_1 and on G/U_2 . Since these are quite restrictive conditions, a complete classification of such pairs might be achieved.

Theorem 3.4. *Let t be transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$, $f := (x^3 + 16x^2 + 160x + 384)^3 - 7^3 \cdot t(x^2 + 13x + 128)$ and $g := (x^9 + 11x^8 + 4x^7 - 868x^6 + 6174x^5 - 43974x^4 + 37492x^3 - 28852x^2 - 2967x + 211)^3(x - 5) + 2^7 \cdot 7^3 \cdot t(x^3 - x^2 - 9x + 1)^7$. Then $f \cdot g$ has Galois group $P\Gamma L_2(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, and for every odd integer specialization $t \mapsto t_0 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ preserving the Galois group, the specialized polynomial $f \cdot g$ has a root in every $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Proof. The polynomials f and g parameterize coverings of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 9 and 28 respectively, each ramified at three points, with inertia canonical invariant $(2A, 3A, 7A)$, geometric monodromy group $PSL_2(8)$ and arithmetic monodromy group $P\Gamma L_2(8)$. In particular, f and g have the same splitting field over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. As the class triple $(2A, 3A, 7A)$ in $P\Gamma L_2(8)$ is a genus zero triple both in the action on 9 and on 28 points, both coverings are genus zero Belyi maps. Their computation is nowadays standard, and we only refer to [19] for further information about computation of such maps.

Let p be a prime, $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and denote the specialized polynomial of f , corresponding to $t \mapsto t_0$, by f_0 . By the arguments preceding the theorem, we only have to exclude that the inertia group I_p of f_0 at p does not contain a group of order 7. If it does, then f_0 decomposes in the form $h := (x - a)^7 \cdot (x^2 + bx + c)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (with some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p$), since I_p has an orbit of length 7 in the action on 9 points. We solve the equation $f_0 \equiv h \pmod{p}$ by computing (with Magma) the Groebner basis of the ideal generated by the coefficients of $f - h$ in $\mathbb{Z}[a, b, c, t]$. This basis contains an element $2^{11} \cdot 7$, which enforces $p \in \{2, 7\}$. Now for odd t , f is separable modulo 2 and therefore unramified at 2.

For $p = 7$, consider the Newton polygon corresponding to the polynomial $g(x + 5)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_7$. The points $(i, \nu_7(a_i))$ determining this polygon are $(0, k)$ with $k \geq 10$ (exact value depending on t_0), $(1, 9)$ and $(28, 0)$. All other points lie on or above the line connecting $(1, 9)$ and $(28, 0)$. By proposition 3.2, this means that $g(x + 5)$ has exactly one root of 7-adic valuation ≥ 1 , and therefore the decomposition groups at 7 fix a root of g , for all specializations $t \mapsto t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

3.3 The group M_{11}

The smallest Mathieu group M_{11} is 2-coverable in different ways. In order to give interseptive polynomials of small degree, we use a 2-covering by the two maximal subgroup of smallest index, namely the point stabilizers in the 3-transitive actions on 11 and 12 points respectively. One checks directly with a computer that the only solvable subgroups of M_{11} not contained in the union of conjugates of these two subgroups are metacyclic groups $C_3.C_6$ of order 18 and several non-metacyclic groups, all of order dividing 144.

The polynomials used in the following theorem were first found by the author in [9] (Theorem 1). For the sake of simplicity, we give rational, but not integer polynomials g in the theorem below. Turning these into monic integer polynomials is trivial, but would blow up the coefficients unnecessarily.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f := (x^2 - 4x - 16)^5(x^2 - 4x - 1) + 2tx^3(x - 4)^3(x^3 - 128)$ and $g := x^{11} + x^7(3x + 2)s + x(3x^4 + 14/5x^3 + 4/5x^2 - 40/81x - 16/81)s^2 - (x - 2/5)(x + 2/5)s^3$, where $s := 4t/10125$.*

Then fg has regular Galois group M_{11} over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, and the specialized polynomial is interseptive for all specializations $t \mapsto t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ that preserve the Galois group and fulfill $t_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Proof. Once again, the polynomial g was computed to parameterize the stem field of an index-11 subgroup in $\text{Gal}(f|\mathbb{Q}) \cong M_{11}$. By the argument preceding this theorem, in order to obtain interseptive polynomials from a given M_{11} -extension, we only have to exclude wild ramification at 2 and 3, and ramification index 3 at any prime. Since the subgroups of order 3 in M_{11} have exactly three orbits of length 3, ramification index 3 at a prime p would mean that $f \equiv (x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3)^3(x^3 + a_4x^2 + a_5x + a_6) \pmod{p}$. Groebner basis computation as in the previous section shows that this is only possible for $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 11\}$.

Modulo 11, f has a simple zero at $x = 6$ for all integer specializations of t , which means that the decomposition group at 11 is contained in a point stabilizer of the degree-12 action.

Modulo 2, f factors as $x^{10} \cdot (x - 1)^2$, which means that the decomposition group at 2 has an orbit of length at most 2 in its action on 12 points. However, one checks directly that the orbit lengths of the “bad” candidate subgroups mentioned before the theorem are (12), (8, 4), (6, 6) and (6, 3, 3) respectively. Therefore the decomposition group at 2 is not one of them.

To gain information modulo 3, set $t = 1$. The polynomial $3^{12} \cdot g$ is then congruent to $2x + 2 \pmod{3}$. Therefore its Newton polygon has a length-1 line segment of slope 0; in

References

other words, g has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}_3$.

Finally, modulo 5, f has at least one simple zero as long as t is not divisible by 5. Therefore, in these cases, the decomposition groups at 5 fix a point.

If $5|t$, but 5^6 does not divide t , then the Newton polygon for $f(x-3)$ shows that f still has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}_5$ (the 5-adic valuations of the coefficients a_i of this polynomial are $\nu_5(a_0) \geq 1 + \nu_5(t)$, $\nu_5(a_1) = \nu_5(t)$, and all other valuations $\nu_5(a_i)$ strictly larger than $\nu_5(t) - (i-1)$). Therefore the Newton polygon contains a length-1 line segment of slope -1 . On the other hand, if $5^6|t$, then an analogous argument with the Newton polygon of g shows that g has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}_5$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] S. Arias-de-Reyna, N. Vila, *Tame Galois realizations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$* . J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 1056–1065.
- [2] S. Arias-de-Reyna, N. Vila, *Tame Galois realizations of $GSp_4(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$* . Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (9) (2011), 2028–2046.
- [3] R. Brandl, *Integer Polynomials with Roots mod p for all Primes p* . J. Algebra 240 (2001), 822–835.
- [4] D. Bubboloni, M.S. Lucido, *Coverings of linear groups*. Comm. Alg. 30 (5) (2002), 2143–2159.
- [5] D. Bubboloni, J. Sonn, *Intersective S_n polynomials with few irreducible factors*. To appear in Manuscripta Mathematica.
- [6] C. Hering, *Transitive linear groups and linear groups which contain irreducible subgroups of prime order. II*. J. Algebra 93 (1985), 151–164.
- [7] J.W. Jones, D.P. Roberts, *A database of number fields*. London Journal of Mathematics and Computation, 17 (1) (2014), 595–618. Database at <http://hobbes.la.asu.edu/NFDB/>
- [8] J. Klüners, G. Malle, *A database for field extensions of the rationals*. LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics 4 (2001), 182–196. Database at <http://galoisdb.math.upb.de/>
- [9] J. König, *On rational functions with monodromy group M_{11}* . To appear in J. Symb. Comp.

References

- [10] M.J. Lavalley, B.K. Spearman, Q. Yang, *Intersective polynomials with Galois group D_5* . Math. J. Okayama Univ. 56, (2014), 27–33.
- [11] P.D. Lee, B.K. Spearman, Q. Yang, *A Parametric Family of Intersective Polynomials with Galois Group A_4* . Comm. Alg. 43 (5) (2015), 1784–1790.
- [12] G. Malle, *Multi-parameter polynomials with given Galois group*. J. Symb. Comput. 21 (2000), 1–15.
- [13] G. Malle, B.H. Matzat, *Inverse Galois Theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Berlin-Heidelberg (1999).
- [14] B. Mazur, *Rational Isogenies of Prime Degree*. Invent. Math. 44 (1978), 129–162.
- [15] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg (1999).
- [16] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*. Springer Verlag, Berlin (2000).
- [17] D. Rabayev, J. Sonn, *On Galois realizations of the 2-coverable symmetric and alternating groups*. Comm. Alg. 42, no. 1 (2014), 253–258.
- [18] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*. Inventiones math. 15 (1972), 259–331.
- [19] J. Sijsling, J. Voight, *On computing Belyi maps*. Publications mathématiques de Besançon (2014), 73–131.
- [20] J. Sonn, *Polynomials with roots in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all p* . Proc. Americ. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no.6, 1955–1960.
- [21] J. Sonn, *Two remarks on the inverse Galois problem for intersective polynomials*. J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux, 21 (2009), no. 2, 437–439.